

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Parent and Trademark Office Addless: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1451 Alexandria, Vyginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.grv

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,423	04/01/2004	Takeshi Imamura	JP920030035US1	7701
7590 07/12/2007 LOUIS P. HERZBERG			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Law Dept. IBM Corporation P.O. Box 218			LE, THU NGUYET T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598			2162	
	•		·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/815,423	IMAMURA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thu-Nguyet Le	2162			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varieto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ap	oril 2007.				
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers	•	,			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 April 2004</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	$\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objection drawing(s) be held in abeystion is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	nt(s)					
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	y Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 			

Art Unit: 2162

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. This office action has been issued in response to amendment file 26 April 2007. Claims 1, 5-8, 10, 14, and 18-20 have been amended. Claims 1-20 are pending in this Office Action. Accordingly, this action has been made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Peng et al. (XPath Queries on Streaming Data, International Conference on Management of Data, Proceedings of the 2003 ACM SIGMOD international conference on Management of data, published on June 9-12, 2003).

With respect to claim 1, Peng discloses an extensible-markup-language Path Language (XPath) evaluating method comprising evaluating the XPath relevant to an extensible-markup-language (XML) document by use of a computer, the step of evaluating, XPath method comprising:

a first step of serially inputting XML event strings constituting an XML document to be processed (page 433, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of [2.1], 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph of [3.1], "accepts XML streams" which is "sequence of SAX events");

a second step of serially evaluating the XPath respectively relevant to the inputted XML events while subjecting the XML document to streaming processing (page 433, 1<sup>st</sup> of [3.]) and retaining information concerning a result of partial evaluation of the XPath in given storing means when the XPath is partially established with respect to a given XML event (example 1 and last paragraph of page 432, when author element in input stream is encountered, XPath is evaluated, and it satisfied the path /pub/book/author. However, book element, author elements are buffered to wait for later input stream events process); and

a third step of repeating the partial evaluation of the XPath along with the input of the XML event strings while considering the result of the partial evaluation retained in the storing means and evaluating that the XPath is established with respect to the XML document when the last part of the XPath is established (example 1 and last paragraph of page 432, along with the input stream of events like price, author,..end of second book, evaluating the XPath "/pub[year=2000]/book[price<11]/author" is established).

Claim 2 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 1 and furthermore Peng teaches the XPath evaluating method wherein the second step includes the steps of:

generating an automaton for expressing the XPath to be evaluated (page 432, 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph of column 1, "generate the hierarchical pushdown automaton corresponding to an XPath query"); and

Art Unit: 2162

evaluating the XPath partially by allowing transition of a state of the automaton based on inputted respective XML events and retaining a result of the partial evaluation as the state of the automaton (page 433, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph of [3.]).

Claim 3 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 1 and furthermore Peng discloses the XPath evaluating method wherein the second step includes the steps of:

generating a first stack which expresses the XPath to be evaluated with a string of stack elements (page 433, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph of [3.], "a set of stack symbols"); and

generating a second stack for analyzing a nested structure of the XML document ("a set of input symbols") to be processed based on respective inputted XML events and then evaluating the XPath partially by comparing the first stack with the second stack (page 433, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph of [3.], lines 5-10).

Claim 4 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 1 and furthermore Peng teaches the XPath evaluating method wherein the second step includes the steps of:

serially constructing a document tree indicating a document structure of the XML document to be processed based on input of respective XML events (page 436, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph of [4.], "hierarchical pushdown transducer (HPDT), in form of a binary tree"; figure11, and 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph of [4.2], build an HPDT from an XPath query); and

evaluating the XPath along with construction of the document tree by use of the document tree including a part which has been constructed (figures 5-11, and example 5, page 436, 1<sup>st</sup> of [4.2]).

Art Unit: 2162

comprising:

With respect to claim 5, Peng discloses an XPath evaluating apparatus

an evaluation executing unit being embodied in a tangible computer readable medium, and employed for inputting XML event strings constituting an XML document and serially evaluating the XPath with respect to each of XML events while subjecting the XML document to streaming processing, and while retaining information concerning a result of partial evaluation of the XPath when the XPath is partially established with respect to a given XML event, and evaluating that the XPath is established with respect to the XML document when the last step of the XPath is established (page 433, 1st of [3], "Basic Pushdown Transducer"); and

an XML event transferring unit being embodied in a tangible computer readable medium, and employed for inputting the XML event strings constituting the XML document to be processed and serially transferring the XML event strings to the evaluation executing unit (page 433, [2.1], "SAX parser").

Claim 6 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 5 and furthermore Peng teaches the XPath evaluating apparatus, further comprising:

an automaton generating unit being embodied in a tangible computer readable medium, and employed for generating an automaton which expresses the XPath to be evaluated (page 433, 1<sup>st</sup> of [3.], "pushdown transducer"),

wherein the evaluation executing unit performs partial evaluation of the XPath by allowing a state of the automaton generated by the automaton generating unit to perform transition based on the XML events transferred from the XML event transferring

Art Unit: 2162

unit, and retains a result of the partial evaluation as the state of the automaton (page 433, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph of [3.]).

Claim 7 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 5 and furthermore Peng teaches the XPath evaluating apparatus, further comprising:

a stack generating unit being embodied in a tangible computer readable medium, and employed for generating a first stack which expresses the XPath to be evaluated with a string of stack elements (page 433, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph of [3.], "a set of stack symbols"),

wherein the evaluation executing unit performs partial evaluation of the XPath by generating a second stack for analyzing a nested structure of the XML document subject to processing based on the XML events transferred from the XML event transferring unit and then comparing the first stack generated by the stack generating unit with the second stack (page 433, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph of [3.], [3.1]).

With respect to claim 8, Peng teaches an XPath evaluating apparatus comprising:

a document tree constructing unit being embodied in a tangible computer readable medium, and employed for inputting XML event strings which constitute an XML document and serially constructing a document tree indicating a document structure of the XML document based on inputted XML events along with the input of the respective XML events while subjecting the XML document to streaming processing (page 434, [3.2], "building the BPDT" with figure 5-9);

Art Unit: 2162

an XML event transferring unit being embodied in a tangible computer readable medium, and employed for inputting the XML event strings which constitute the XML document to be processed and serially transferring the XML event strings to the document tree constructing unit (page 433, [2.1], "SAX parser"); and

an evaluation executing unit being embodied in a tangible computer readable medium, and employed for evaluating the XPath along with construction of the document tree by the document tree constructing unit, using the document tree with a part which has been constructed (page 436, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph of [4.], "hierarchical pushdown transducer (HPDT), in form of a binary tree"; figure11, and 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph of [4.2], build an HPDT from an XPath query).

Claim 9 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 8 and furthermore Peng teaches the XPath evaluating apparatus, wherein the evaluation executing unit retains information concerning a result of partial evaluation of the XPath when the XPath is partially established upon the evaluation of the XPath using the document tree (page 434, [3.3], "Buffer operation in BPDT").

With respect to claim 10, Peng teaches an information processing apparatus comprising:

an XML parser for analyzing an XML document to be processed and thereby generating XML event strings (page 433, [2.1]);

an XPath evaluating unit being embodied in a tangible computer readable medium, and employed for serially inputting the XML event strings generated by the

XML parser and evaluating the XPath with respect to each of inputted XML events by streaming processing (page 433, 1<sup>st</sup> of [3.], "PDA"); and

an application executing unit being embodied in a tangible computer readable medium, and employed for inputting the XML events generated by the XML parser and performing processing with respect to the XML document configured by the XML events in response to an evaluation result of the XPath by the XPath evaluating unit (page 434, [3.2], "building the BPDT"),

wherein the XPath evaluating unit serially evaluates the XPath with respect to each of the XML events, retains information concerning a result of partial evaluation of the XPath when the XPath is partially established with respect to a given XML event, and judges that the XPath is established with respect to the XML document when the last step of the XPath is established (page 433, 1<sup>st</sup> of [3], "Basic Pushdown Transducer").

Claim 11 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 10 and furthermore Peng teaches the information processing apparatus, wherein the XPath evaluating unit generates an automaton for expressing the XPath to be evaluated, performs partial evaluation of the XPath by allowing transition of a state of the automaton based on the XML events generated by the XML parser, and retains a result of the partial evaluation as the state of the automaton (example 1 and last paragraph of page 432, when author element in input stream is encountered, XPath is evaluated, and it satisfied the path /pub/book/author. However, book element, author elements are buffered to wait for later input stream events process).

Claim 12 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 10 and furthermore Peng teaches the information processing apparatus, wherein the XPath evaluating unit generates a first stack which expresses the XPath to be evaluated with a string of stack elements, generates a second stack for analyzing a nested structure of the XML document to be processed based on the XML events generated by the XML parser, and performs partial evaluation of the XPath by then comparing the first stack with the second stack (page 433, 1st paragraph of [3.], lines 5-10).

Claim 13 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 10 and furthermore Peng teaches the information processing apparatus, wherein the XPath evaluating unit serially constructs a document tree indicating a document structure of the XML document to be processed based on inputted XML events along with the input of the respective XML events generated by the XML parser, and evaluates the XPath by use of the document tree with a part which has been constructed (page 436, 1st paragraph of [4.], "hierarchical pushdown transducer (HPDT), in form of a binary tree"; figure 11, and 1st paragraph of [4.2], build an HPDT from an XPath query).

Claim 14 is rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claim 1. The claim 1 claims limitations of the XPath evaluating method while the claim 14 claims limitations of a program causing the computer to execute the procedure for carrying out the steps of claim 1.

Claim 15 is rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claim 1. The claim 1 claims limitations of the XPath evaluating method while the claim

15 claims limitations of an article of manufacture comprising computer readable program code means for causing a computer to effect the steps of claim 1.

Claim 16, and 17 are rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claim 1. The claim 1 claims limitations of the XPath evaluating method while the claims 16, 17 claim limitations of a program storage device readable by machine to perform the steps of claim 1.

Claim 18 are rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claim 5. The claim 5 claims limitations of the XPath evaluating apparatus while the claim 18 claims limitations of a computer program product for causing a computer to effect the XPath evaluating apparatus of claim 5.

Claim 19 are rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claim 8. The claim 8 claims limitations of the XPath evaluating apparatus while the claim 19 claims limitations of a computer program product for causing a computer to effect the XPath evaluating apparatus of claim 8.

Claim 20 are rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claim 10. The claim 10 claims limitations of the information processing apparatus while the claim 20 claims limitations of a computer program product for causing a computer to effect the information processing apparatus of claim 10.

### Response to Argument

4. The claims have been amended to overcome claim objections, *35 USC 112* rejections, *35 USC 112 rejections*. Therefore, the claim objections and claim rejections have been removed.

5. Applicants' arguments regarding the 102(a) rejection based upon Peng are not persuasive. The examiner respectfully traverses applicants' arguments.

Page 11

With respect to applicants argument that Peng does not anticipate "evaluating the XPath relevant to an extensible-markup-language (XML) document", the examiner refers applicants to page 431 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of [1.]. Peng discloses evaluating XPath over streaming XML. Therefore, "evaluating the XPath relevant to an extensible-markup-language (XML) document" is taught in Peng's reference.

With respect to applicant's argument that Peng does not anticipation of "serially inputting XML event strings constituting an XML document to be processed", the examiner respectfully disagrees. In page 433, 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of [2.1], Peng teaches the XML document is parsed into a sequence of events, which is streaming XML data. Additional, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph of [3.1] recites PDA accepts the streaming XML. Therefore, "serially inputting XML event strings constituting an XML document to be processed" is taught in Peng's reference.

With respect to applicants argument that Peng does not anticipate "serially evaluating", "retaining information concerning a result of partial evaluation of the XPath", and "XPath is partially established with respect to a given XML event", the examiner respectfully disagrees. The examiner refers applicants to example 1 in page 432 and 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph of [3.] in page 433, which the XPath /pub/book/author is serially evaluated for each input event from the input sequence (figure1 of example1) is fetched for evaluating XPath. Additional, book element, author elements are buffered to wait for later input stream events process. Therefore, "serially evaluating ", "retaining"

information concerning a result of partial evaluation of the XPath", and "XPath is partially established with respect to a given XML event" are taught in Peng's reference.

Page 12

With respect to applicants' argument that "EXAMPLE 1. Consider the following query for the XML data Figure 1: /pub [year=2002]/book[price<11]/author" (1) is not related to "step of repeating the partial evaluation of the XPath along with the input of the XML event strings while considering the result of the partial evaluation retained in the storing means and evaluating that the XPath is established with respect to the XML document when the last part of the XPath is established". The examiner agrees (1) does not teach the entire above limitation. It only discloses the example of the XPath.

However, in the office action, the examiner refers applicants to the whole example1, which is a paragraph of more than 20 lines not only the first two line. In the example 1, XPath /pub [year=2002]/book[price<11]/author is evaluated using the sequent of XML event string (fig.1 of example1). The price subelement of book element is evaluated and buffered as the partial evaluation result. The process is continued until the last element in the path (year element) is evaluated. Therefore, the limitation is taught in Peng's reference.

With respect to applicants' argument that "generating an automaton for expressing the XPath to be evaluated" because "page 432, 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph of column 1, apparently does not allude to *generate the hierarchical pushdown automaton* corresponding to an XPath query", the examiner respectfully disagrees. "generate the hierarchical pushdown automaton corresponding to an XPath query" is in

page 432, 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph of column 1. Therefore, "generating an automaton for expressing the XPath to be evaluated" is taught in Peng's reference.

With respect to applicants' argument that Peng does not anticipate a step of "evaluating the XPath partially by allowing transition of a state of the automaton based on inputted respective XML events and retaining a result of the partial evaluation as the state of the automaton", the examiner respectfully disagrees. page 433, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph of [3.], Peng teaches for each input event from the input sequence (figure1 of example1) is fetched, the current state of PDT is changed and buffered the result. Therefore, the limitation is taught in Peng's reference.

With respect to applicants' argument that Peng does not anticipate a step of "generating a second stack for analyzing a nested structure of the XML document to be processed based on respective inputted XML events and then evaluating the XPath partially by comparing the first stack with the second stack", the examiner respectfully disagrees. In 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph of [3.] page 433, "a set of input symbol" (fig.1) is represent for "a nested structure of the XML document" as in claim limitation, and "the set of stack input symbols". Additionally, the example1 discloses the comparing each of events in the document to the each element in the XPath. Therefore, the limitation is taught in Peng's reference.

6. Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

With respect to applicants argument regarding to claim 4 that Peng does not anticipate a step of "serially constructing a document tree indicating a document structure of the XML document to be processed based on input of respective XML events"; and "evaluating the XPath along with construction of the document tree by use of the document tree including a part which has been constructed". *The examiner recites in the office action* "serially constructing a document tree indicating a document structure of the XML document to be processed based on input of respective XML events (page 436, 1st paragraph of [4.], "hierarchical pushdown transducer (HPDT), in form of a binary tree"; figure11, and 1st paragraph of [4.2], build an HPDT from an XPath query); and evaluating the XPath along with construction of the document tree by use of the document tree including a part which has been constructed (figures 5-11, and example 5, page 436, 1st of [4.2])".

With respect to applicants argument regarding to claim 5 that Peng does not anticipate an element of "an evaluation executing unit", *the examiner recites in the office action* "an evaluation executing unit…. (page 433, 1<sup>st</sup> of [3], "Basic Pushdown Transducer")".

With respect to applicants argument regarding to claim 6 that Peng does not anticipate an element of "an automaton generating unit", *the examiner recites in the office action* "an automaton generating unit …. (page 433, 1<sup>st</sup> of [3.], "pushdown transducer")".

With respect to applicants argument regarding to claim 7 that Peng does not anticipate an element of "a stack generating unit being embodied in a tangible computer

readable medium, and employed for generating a first stack which expresses the XPath to be evaluated with a string of stack elements", and "the evaluation executing unit performs partial evaluation of the XPath by generating a second stack for analyzing a nested structure of the XML document subject to processing based on the XML events transferred from the XML event transferring unit and then comparing the first stack generated by the stack generating unit with the second stack". *The examiner recites in the office action* "a stack generating unit being embodied in a tangible computer readable medium, and employed for generating a first stack which expresses the XPath to be evaluated with a string of stack elements (page 433, 1st paragraph of [3.], "a set of stack symbols"), wherein the evaluation executing unit performs partial evaluation of the XPath by generating a second stack for analyzing a nested structure of the XML document subject to processing based on the XML events transferred from the XML event transferring unit and then comparing the first stack generated by the stack generating unit with the second stack (page 433, 1st paragraph of [3.], [3.1])."

With respect to applicants argument regarding to claim 8 that Peng does not anticipate "a document tree constructing unit being embodied in a tangible computer readable medium, and employed for inputting XML event strings which constitute an XML document and serially constructing a document tree indicating a document structure of the XML document based on inputted XML events along with the input of the respective XML events while subjecting the XML document to streaming processing; an XML event transferring unit being embodied in a tangible computer readable medium, and employed for inputting the XML event strings which constitute the XML

document to be processed and serially transferring the XML event strings to the document tree constructing unit. *The examiner recites in the office action* "a document tree constructing unit being embodied in a tangible computer readable medium, and employed for inputting XML event strings which constitute an XML document and serially constructing a document tree indicating a document structure of the XML document based on inputted XML events along with the input of the respective XML events while subjecting the XML document to streaming processing (page 434, [3.2], "building the BPDT" with figure 5-9); an XML event transferring unit being embodied in a tangible computer readable medium, and employed for inputting the XML event strings which constitute the XML document to be processed and serially transferring the XML event strings to the document tree constructing unit (page 433, [2.1], "SAX parser")".

With respect to applicants argument regarding to claim 9 that Peng does not anticipate an element of "wherein the evaluation executing unit retains information concerning a result of partial evaluation of the XPath when the XPath is partially established upon the evaluation of the XPath using the document tree", *the examiner recites in the office action* "wherein the evaluation executing unit retains information concerning a result of partial evaluation of the XPath when the XPath is partially established upon the evaluation of the XPath using the document tree (page 434, [3.3], "Buffer operation in BPDT")".

With respect to applicants argument regarding to claim 10 that Peng does not anticipate "the XPath evaluating unit serially evaluates the XPath with respect to each of

the XML events, retains information concerning a result of partial evaluation of the XPath when the XPath is partially established with respect to a given XML event, and judges that the XPath is established with respect to the XML document when the last step of the XPath is established", *the examiner recites in the office action* "the XPath evaluating unit serially evaluates the XPath with respect to each of the XML events, retains information concerning a result of partial evaluation of the XPath when the XPath is partially established with respect to a given XML event, and judges that the XPath is established with respect to the XML document when the last step of the XPath is established (page 433, 1<sup>st</sup> of [3], "Basic Pushdown Transducer")".

With respect to applicants argument regarding to claim 11 that Peng does not anticipate "the XPath evaluating unit generates an automaton for expressing the XPath to be evaluated, performs partial evaluation of the XPath by allowing transition of a state of the automaton based on the XML events generated by the XML parser, and retains a result of the partial evaluation as the state of the automaton", *the examiner recites in the office action* "the XPath evaluating unit generates an automaton for expressing the XPath to be evaluated, performs partial evaluation of the XPath by allowing transition of a state of the automaton based on the XML events generated by the XML parser, and retains a result of the partial evaluation as the state of the automaton (example 1 and last paragraph of page 432, when author element in input stream is encountered, XPath is evaluated, and it satisfied the path /pub/book/author. However, book element, author elements are buffered to wait for later input stream events process)".

With respect to applicants argument regarding to claim 12 that Peng does not anticipate "the XPath evaluating unit serially constructs a document tree indicating a document structure of the XML document to be processed based on inputted XML events along with the input of the respective XML events generated by the XML parser, and evaluates the XPath by use of the document tree with a part which has been constructed". The examiner respectfully disagrees. *This limitation is not recited in claim 12*.

With respect to applicants argument regarding to claim 13 that Peng does not anticipate "the XPath evaluating unit serially constructs a document tree indicating a document structure of the XML document to be processed based on inputted XML events along with the input of the respective XML events generated by the XML parser, and evaluates the XPath by use of the document tree with a part which has been constructed", *the examiner recites in the office action* "wherein the XPath evaluating unit serially constructs a document tree indicating a document structure of the XML document to be processed based on inputted XML events along with the input of the respective XML events generated by the XML parser, and evaluates the XPath by use of the document tree with a part which has been constructed (page 436, 1st paragraph of [4.], "hierarchical pushdown transducer (HPDT), in form of a binary tree"; figure 11, and 1st paragraph of [4.2], build an HPDT from an XPath query)".

Accordingly, examiner strongly believes that a prima facie case has been clearly establish with respect to the prior art rejection of the instant claims, given their broadest reasonable interpretation.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,423 Page 19

Art Unit: 2162

#### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu-Nguyet Le whose telephone number is 571-270-1093. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on 571-272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,423 Page 20

Art Unit: 2162

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TL

Thu-Nguyet Le KBP 02 July 2007

CAM-Y TRUONG
PRIMARY EXAMINER